

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	JING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/345,820	0	6/30/1999	LYNN Y. SHIMADA	13065.36.1.1	1703
21999	7590	11/03/2004		EXAMINER	
KIRTON A	ND MCC	CONKIE	BASHORE, ALAIN L		
1800 EAGL 60 EAST SC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P O BOX 45			3624		
SALT LAK	E CITY, U	T 84145-0120	DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•		09/345,820	SHIMADA, LYNN	, I V				
£1	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	T				
	•	Alain L. Bashore	3624					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap			ddress				
Period fo	or Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reploation of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, by within the statutory minimur will apply and will expire SIX (e, cause the application to become	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered time 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	aly. communication.				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 J	luly 2004.						
		s action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for forma	matters, prosecution as to the	e merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 10-14 and 17-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 10-14 and 17-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examination	er.						
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)[a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureaction for a list	its have been received its have been received prity documents have au (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this National	l Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		rview Summary (PTO-413)					
3) 🔲 Infon	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date		er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PToer:	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/345,820

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 10 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The term "dynamic" does not appear to be used per se in applicant's originally filed specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/345,820 Page 3

Art Unit: 3624

4. Claims 10-16, 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kolling et al in view of Thomson et al in view of Anderson et al in view of Heindel et al in further view of Abe.

Kolling et al discloses a system configured to electronically initiate a payment to an amount owed to a vendor from a customer computer system regardless of whether the vendor utilizes an electronic payment technology. A user computer system includes an electronic accounting application (col 14, lines 36-38). An electronic payment file is generated by and transmitted from the customer computer system to pay an amount owed to a biller (col 15, lines 55-67; col 16, lines 1-6). The term "biller" is understood to encompass a vendor.

A third-party electronic payment processing center (102) is electronically coupled to the user computer system so as to receive the electronic payment file from the user computer system and an ACH file generated by the third-party electronic payment processing center from the electronic payment file to effectuate payment of the amount owned to the vendor responsive to the electronic payment file received when electronic payment technology is available (18). A financial institution has a financial account corresponding to the vendor, wherein the financial institution is electronically coupled to the third party electronic payment processing center to receive the ACH file (fig 3).

Application/Control Number: 09/345,820

Art Unit: 3624

Kolling does not disclose:

receiving the electronic payment of the amount owned to the vendor regardless of whether the vendor utilizes an electronic payment technology through electronic payment when available and through a printed check when no electronic payment technology is available;

receiving electronic print data at the local electronic payment processing interface from the electronic accounting application;

a printing device to effectuate payment;

a single electronic payment file comprising specific invoice and check information as recited in claims 14 and 20;

using the print data to selectively generate an electronic payment file at the local electronic payment processing interface; and,

print data that is "dynamic" (understood as: being continuously changeable).

Anderson et al discloses effectuating payment of the amount owned to the vendor regardless of whether the vendor utilizes an electronic payment technology

Art Unit: 3624

through electronic technology when available and through a printed check when no electronic payment technology is available (col 13, lines 4-6).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to effectuating payment of the amount owned to the vendor regardless of whether the vendor utilizes an electronic payment technology through electronic technology when available and through a printed check when no electronic payment technology is available because of what is taught by Anderson et al. Anderson et al teaches set-up requirements for vendor interaction (col 12, line 49).

Thomson et al discloses a printing device (fig 3) and combining specific invoice and check information as recited in claims 14 and 20 (fig 1a). Thomson et al also discloses receiving electronic print data at a local electronic payment processing interface from an electronic accounting application (col 7, lines 1-30).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include a single electronic payment file comprising specific invoice and check information as recited in claims 20 and 24 because of what is taught by Thomson et al. Thomson et al teaches that incorporation of all information into one entity allows for increased efficiency (col 4,line 68; col 5, lines 1-4).

Art Unit: 3624

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include a printing device to effectuate payment because Kolling teaches that invoices may be mailed (copl 15, lines 55-56).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include receiving electronic print data at a local electronic payment processing interface from an electronic accounting application because Thomson et al teaches formatting required from data files for printing purposes (col 7, lines 26-27).

Heindel et al discloses using the print data to selectively generate an electronic file (col 7, lines 15-27).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include using the print data to selectively generate an electronic payment file at the local electronic payment processing interface because Heindel et al teaches certain payment processing interfaces require print data translation for file capture to allow electronic payment capability (col 7, line 19).

Abe discloses print data that is dynamic (see abstract).

Application/Control Number: 09/345,820 Page 7

Art Unit: 3624

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include using print data that is dynamic because Abe teaches that different application software require different inputs (col 1, lines 26-36).

5. Claims 17 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kolling et al in view of Thomson et al in view of Anderson et al in further view of Heindel et al as applied to claim 10 and 18 above, and further in view of Ferguson et al.

Kolling et al, Anderson et al, Thomson et al, Heindel et al, or Abe explicitly disclose an ASCII text data format as recited in claims 21 and 25.

Ferguson et al discloses ASCII text data format (col 1, lines 41-50).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include ASCII text data formats because Ferguson et al teaches such formats as known in the art as conductive for reading purposes (col 1, lines 45-47).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims of record have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/345,820 Page 8

Art Unit: 3624

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 703-308-1884. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:00 am to 4:30 pm (Monday thru Thursday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 703-308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alain L. Bashore
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3624